

Marzal, Manuel M.

- 1992 *Utopía posible. Indios y jesuitas en la América colonial (1549–1767)*. 2 Vols. Lima: Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Fondo Editorial.

Melià, Bartomeu

- 1997 *Pueblos indígenas en el Paraguay*. Fernando de la Mora, Paraguay: Dirección General de Estadística, Encuestas y Censos (DGEEC).

Pi Hugarte, Renzo

- 1993 *Los indios de Uruguay*. Colección Pueblos y Lenguas Indígenas 3. Quito: Ediciones Abya-Yala [Originally Madrid: Ediciones MAPFRE].

Poblete M., María Teresa and Adalberto Salas

- 1997 El aymara de Chile (fonología, textos, léxico). *Filología y Lingüística* (San José: Universidad de Costa Rica.) 23,1: 121–203; 23,2: 95–138.
1999 Fonemas yamana (yagan). Estructura fonológica de la palabra. *Revista de lingüística teórica y aplicada (RLA)* (Concepción-Chile) 37: 107–122.

Pozzi-Escot, Inés

- 1998 *El Multilingüismo en el Perú*. Cuzco: Centro de Estudios Regionales Andinos “Bartolomé de Las Casas” and PROEIB Andes.

Rodríguez Bazán, Luis Antonio

- 2000 Estado de las lenguas indígenas del Oriente, Chaco y Amazonía bolivianos. In Queixalós and Renault-Lescure (eds.) *As Línguas Amazônicas Hoje* [The Amazonian languages today] São Paulo: Instituto Socioambiental. 129–149.

Rowe, John Howland

- 1947 The Distribution of Indians and Indian languages in Peru. *The Geographical Review* (New York) 37: 202–215.

Santos, Fernando

- 1992 *Etnohistoria de la Alta Amazonía, Siglos XV–XVIII*. Colección 500. Años Nº 46. Quito: Abya-Yala, Movimientos Laicos para América Latina (MLAL).

Torero Fernández de Córdova, Alfredo

- 1964 Los dialectos quechuas. *Anales Científicos de la Universidad Agraria* (Lima: Universidad Agraria de La Molina.) 2: 446–478.

Triana y Antorveza, Humberto

- 1987 *Las lenguas indígenas en la historia social del Nuevo Reino de Granada*. Biblioteca “Ezequiel Urícochea” Nº 2. Bogotá: Instituto Caro y Cuervo.

Viegas Barros, J. Pedro

- n. d. *La lengua de los Chonos del sur de Chile. Una comparación con el Qawasqar y el Yagan*. Buenos Aires. (unpublished manuscript)

Chapter 3

Endangered Languages of Lowland Tropical South America¹

Denny Moore

The languages discussed in this chapter are found in a vast region which roughly corresponds to lowland South America: the Amazonian regions of Bolivia, Peru, Ecuador, and Colombia, as well as all of Brazil, Venezuela, Guyana, Suriname, and French Guiana. Present figures indicate the presence of about 300 indigenous and creole languages in this region, though the number would be less if mutually intelligible dialects were not listed as separate languages. The available figures (and those presented here) are unreliable, but give some idea of the situation of these languages which can be compared to the situation in other world areas.

South America is noted for linguistic diversity. Nichols (1990: 479) estimates the continent has about 90 linguistic stocks, conservatively defined, compared to 14 stocks in Africa. Kaufman and Golla (2001: 48) estimate 50 language families and 50 isolates in South America. Lizarralde (2001: 266) estimates that there were “possibly 1,200 indigenous groups” in native South America before European contact, and that 65 percent of the native languages became extinct. Kaufman and Golla (2001: 48) estimate 550 native languages in pre-Columbian South America, of which 300 survive. The Andean highlands are rather different from the lowlands culturally, linguistically, and even genetically (Simoni et. al. 2001). The earliest pottery in the New World (8,000 to 6,000 B.P.) is in Amazonia (Roosevelt 1994: 5). According to Roosevelt (1994), the floodplains of Amazonia supported dense populations organized in chiefdoms which were quite different from the surviving indigenous cultures in the present-day tropical forest. The arrival of the Europeans ultimately decimated the chiefdoms, though there was a long and eventful period of interaction between them and the Europeans.

The surviving native lowland societies are mainly in the hinterlands, where sustained contact has been relatively recent. In Eastern Brazil, for example, few native groups still speak their language. Rodrigues (1993) estimates that 75% of the native languages of Brazil have already disappeared. There are still indigenous groups in Amazonia who live with no

contact with national society. Even today these groups usually lose two-thirds of their population from diseases when they enter into sustained contact. This mass death is completely unnecessary since the diseases responsible for it are all preventable or treatable, but the necessary assistance measures are seldom carried out, and both the general public and specialists, such as anthropologists, often accept the deaths as routine and normal.

Scientific knowledge of the languages of lowland South America is still limited. In Brazil, for example, according to the estimates of Franchetto (2000: 171) there is good description for only 19% of the native languages, some description for 64%, and nothing for 13%. The national capacity for linguistic research varies greatly from country to country, with Brazil, Colombia, and Venezuela seemingly the most developed in scientific linguistics (leaving aside French Guiana, which is formally a part of France and undergoing recent development). In Brazil the scientific investigation of indigenous languages only began in the second half of the twentieth century but is developing at an accelerating pace. In the last 15 years 23 doctoral dissertations involving indigenous languages were defended in Brazilian graduate programs (including one by a foreign missionary linguist). Of these, 16 included analysis of language structure. Aside from these, 17 doctoral dissertations involving indigenous Brazilian languages were defended in graduate programs abroad (including three by foreign scientists). Of these, 15 included analysis of language structure. Characteristically for the region, the number of linguists with only an M.A. is disproportionately high: about one hundred M.A. theses involving indigenous languages have been defended in Brazil in the last 15 years.

Progress in the development of national centers for linguistics in the region increases the national capacity for dealing with the question of endangered languages and their documentation, though much more remains to be done. At least in Brazil there has been too little respect for linguistic description, which is often disparaged, more prestige being attributed to partial descriptions with theoretical pretensions. The first published complete description of an indigenous language by a Brazilian linguist in decades is the grammar of *Kamaiurá* by Seki (2000). Real dictionaries (not wordlists) and text collections are still rare in Brazil. Recent support by the *Volkswagen Foundation* for projects documenting the *Kuikúro*, *Trumái*, and *Awetí* languages of the Xingu is having an excellent impact on the level of documentation being carried out.

In my experience, the nature of scientific underdevelopment is not generally understood. Underdevelopment is not the lack of something; rather it is a positive system which intends to maintain itself and which will re-

act against developmental efforts which might threaten it. Those wishing to promote scientific development should anticipate possible resistance by those most heavily invested in the underdeveloped system. Where development does not occur it is the result of human decisions and not of mystical factors or lack of innate capacity.

Though it is often believed that the presence of missionary linguistic organizations will help develop linguistics in the Third World, the facts from Latin America prove the contrary. Of the non-missionary Brazilian linguists with a doctorate, none owes his training principally to missionary organizations. There is an inverse relation between missionary presence and the development of national scientific linguistics. In Brazil, for example, the position of missionary linguists has declined steadily as more and more well-trained Brazilian linguists have appeared. In Bolivia, by contrast, where there are relatively few national linguists specializing in indigenous languages, the great preponderance of linguistic investigation is carried out by missionary organizations, which have little interest in producing non-missionary Bolivian linguists.

There are relatively few non-missionary foreign linguists active in lowland South America, in spite of the many research opportunities and the possibility of interesting collaborations with national entities and indigenous organizations. With a few exceptions, such as the regions of Colombia involved in armed conflict, there is reasonably secure access to research sites in the region. In Brazil anyone conducting scientific research in a native community must have the authorization of the National Indian Foundation, which entails having the permission of the community and formal affiliation with a Brazilian scientific institution. Foreigners must also have a research visa, which in principle takes 120 days to obtain, though delays are common and at least a third of foreign applicants fail to follow instructions and must repeat steps. In the other countries of the region the authorization process is probably less regulated than in Brazil. In Bolivia, for example, it is possible to conduct research on indigenous languages without special authorization. Linguists who have worked in other world areas are often surprised that in Brazil there is a firm expectation that the linguist will do something of practical use for the community and will collaborate with national linguists. In my experience, foreign linguists and Latin American linguists have very different attitudes toward missionary organizations. For most North American linguists, if these organizations eliminate native religions and do not develop national scientific linguistic capacity in the countries where they work, that is acceptable and even natural, especially if the missionaries are "nice" and do some "good lin-

guistics" and perhaps offer data or other favors. By contrast, for most Brazilian linguists the elimination of native religions and the lack of interest in national scientific development are simply unacceptable-period.

Given the small size of most of the surviving groups of the lowlands, it is not surprising that many of their languages are in serious danger of extinction within one or two generations. This is especially true where history and social forces remove the contexts in which the language was always used. Inter-marriage, dispersion in search of work or training, and minority status in relation to other indigenous languages in the same community tend to undermine transmission of the language over time. At least in Brazil the native communities are interested in documentation of their language and culture and usually welcome scientists willing to assist them in that respect. Methods for language revitalization are not generally known or employed yet in lowland South America, though there is considerable activity in literacy in the native language. In Brazil many such literacy efforts are hampered by orthographies which do not reflect the phonology of the language, by competing multiple orthographies, and by a lack of objective evaluation of results. In such cases the written materials developed will be of doubtful value to future generations since the pronunciation cannot be recovered from the written form.

In the tables that follow, an attempt is made to review the status of each of the languages of lowland South America. However, most of the data presented is questionable, since in this region few efforts are specifically aimed at collecting the information relevant for determining the degree of endangerment of languages, which are often spoken in remote locations. One general problem is the confusion of population size with the number of speakers, the latter being more important for possible endangerment. For example, Rodrigues (1986: 72 and 1993) estimates the number of speakers of *Yawalapití* as 135, whereas according to Seki (1999) only 13 people are really fluent in the language, due to encroachment by neighboring languages. The number of speakers of *Torá* is estimated as 256 by Rodrigues (1986: 81, 1993), and as 250 by Aikhenvald and Dixon (2000: 343), but according to a website for the *Torá* (www.socioambiental.org/website/epi/tora/tora.htm), they only speak *Portuguese*. The effect of this confusion is to underestimate the degree of endangerment. Data on the transmission of languages is generally missing, though this is one of the most important facts for endangerment. With time and the cooperation of specialists in various regions, it would be possible to obtain a more realistic and detailed picture of the status of the lowland languages, and this should be a priority.

A further difficulty in the data presented below is the confusion of ethnic or political groups with languages. For example, within the *Mondé* family *Gavião* and *Zoró* are listed as separate languages, though they speak slightly different dialects of the same language. Some of these confusions are corrected, especially if they are relevant for endangerment and there is no doubt about the correction. The data in each table is country-specific; that is, the population of a group is the population in that one country, which will be different from the total population if the group exists in other countries. The amount of study on each language, which is also country-specific, is a very rough estimate of doubtful reliability, based on available information. Languages with little or no significant scientific description are rated 0; those with a M.A. thesis or several articles are rated 1; those with a good overall sketch or doctoral thesis on some aspects of the language are rated 2; and those with reasonably complete descriptions are rated 3. Because of the fragility of the data, the only languages for which an endangerment status is attributed are those which are obviously in very severe immediate danger. These (77 in all) are marked as "d", "d, e" or "e". These letters refer to the Krauss definitions on the degrees of language vitality in chapter 1, this volume: "d" – "critically endangered" and "e" – "extinct". This obviously does not imply that the other languages are not endangered. The languages status was generally not marked if another dialect with a sizeable number of speakers or a fair-size community of speakers in another country exists. Notice that the most endangered languages tend also to be the languages with the least description. In some cases the language so marked may already be extinct, but it is better to indicate the language since it sometimes happens that a language thought to be extinct may have a few speakers somewhere, if a careful search is made. An attempt was made to retain the language names (variants are in parentheses) commonly used in each country, together with the classification usually recognized, though with occasional modifications. Principal and supplementary sources of information are indicated in each table. For countries other than Brazil, where my knowledge is very limited, considerable reliance was placed on *As Línguas Amazônicas Hoje* (= LAH) (Queixalós and Renault-Lescure 2000) and data kindly supplied by Willem Adelaar and Mily Crevels from their database on languages of the region. The map displaying the approximate locations of urgently endangered languages was created by Reinaldo Shinkai.

Table 1. Brazil

Language Dialects, Groups	Speakers	Popula- tion	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
<i>Tupí Stock</i>					
<i>Tupí-Guaraní Family</i>					
Akwáwa					
Parakanã	most	624	high?	0	
Suruí do Tocantins	most	185	high?	1	
Asurini do Tocantins	most	233	high?	2	
Amanayé	any?	66	none?	0	d, e
Anambé	6	105	none?	1	d
Apiaká	0?	43	?	0	d, e
Araweté	most	230	high	0	
Asuriní do Xingu	most	81	high?	0	
Avá-Canoeiro	most?	14		0	d
Guajá	all	370	high	1	
Guaraní		25,000		2	
Kaiowá		total			
Mbyá (in Ar, Pa)					
Nhandéva (in Ar, Pa)					
Kaapor / Urubu-Kaapor	most	500	high	2	
Kamayurá	most	364	high	3	
Kayabí	most?	1,200	high?	1	
Kawahíb				2	
Parintintin		130			
Diahkói		30			
Juma		7			
Karipúna					
Tenharin		360	med		
Uru-Eu-Wau-Wau	all	106	high		
Kokáma					
Kokáma (in Co, Pe)	320	5	low?	2	d
Omágua / Kambeba	[240]	few?	low?	0	d, e
Língua Geral Amazônica / Nheengatú					
= coastal Tupi-Guarani altered by contact (Co, V)		>6,000?	med	1	
Tapirapé	380			1	

Table 1. Brazil (cont.)

Language Dialects, Groups	Speakers	Popula- tion	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
<i>Tenetehára</i>					
Guajajara	10,200			1	
Tembé	800		variable	1	
Wayampí / Waiãpi / Oiampi (FG)	498	most?	high?	2	
Xetá	[8]	3			d
Zo'é / Puturú	152	all	high	1	
<i>Arikém Family</i>					
Karitiana	all	171	high	2	
<i>Awetí Family</i>					
Awetí	all	100	high	1	
<i>Juruna Family</i>					
Juruna / Yuruna / Yudjá	all	212	high	1	
Xipaia / Shipaya	2?	15?	none	2	d
<i>Mawé Family</i>					
Mawé / Sateré-Mawé	most?	5,825	good	2	
<i>Mondê Family</i>					
Aruá	12?	36	low	0	d, e
Cinta-Larga	all	643	high	1	
Cinta Larga, Zoró, Gavião dialects of one language					
Gavião	all	360	high	2	
Mondê	3 semi?	?	none?	0	d, e
Suruí / Paitér	all	586	high	1	
Zoró	all	257	high	0	
<i>Puruborá Family</i>					
Puruborá	?2 semi	20?	none	0	d, e
<i>Mundurukú Family</i>					
Kuruáya	3?	10?	none?	0	d, e
Mundurukú	most	3,000	high	3	
<i>Ramarama Family</i>					
Karo / Arara	most	130	good	2	
<i>Tuparí Family</i>					
Ajurú / Wayoró	10?	38	low	0	d, e
Makuráp		129	med?	1	

Table 1. Brazil (cont.)

<i>Language Dialects, Groups</i>	<i>Speakers</i>	<i>Popula- tion</i>	<i>Trans- mission</i>	<i>Studies</i>	<i>Status</i>
Mekém / Mekens / Sakirabiár	25	70	low	2	d
Tuparí	most?	204	med-low	1	
Akuntsu	7	7	high	0	d
<i>Macro-Jê Stock</i>					
<i>Boróro Family</i>					
Boróro		914		2	
Umutina / Omotina	1	100	low		d
<i>Krenák Family</i>					
Krenák	10?	99	low	1	d
<i>Guató Family</i>					
Guató	5 [40]	700	low	2	d
<i>Jê Family</i>					
Akwén					e
Xakriabá	0?	4,952	none		
Xavánte	most	7,100	high?	1	
Xerénte	all?	1,552		1	
Apinayé		718	high?	2	
Kaingáng		20,000		2	
Kaingang do Paraná		total			
Kaingáng Central					
Kaingáng do Sudoeste					
Kaingáng do Sudeste					
Kayapó		4,000	high	1	
Gorotire		total			
Kararaô					
Kokraimoro					
Kubenkrankn					
Menkrangnoti					
Mentuktíre / Txukahamãe					
Xikrin					
Panará / Kren-akore / Kren-akarore	all	197	high	2	
Suyá					
Suyá	all	223	high	1-2	
Tapayúna / Beíço-de-Pau		63			

Table 1. Brazil (cont.)

<i>Language Dialects, Groups</i>	<i>Speakers</i>	<i>Popula- tion</i>	<i>Trans- mission</i>	<i>Studies</i>	<i>Status</i>
<i>Timbira</i>					
Canela Apaniekra		336	high	2	
Canela Ramkokamekra		883	high		
Gavião do Pará / Parkateyé		333		1	
Gavião do Maranhão / Pukobiyé		150			
Krahô		1,198	high	1	
Krenjê / Kren-yé		?			
Krikatí / Krinkatí		420			
Xokleng		1,650	low	1	
<i>Karajá Family</i>					
<i>Karajá</i>					
Javaé	most	750	good	2	
Karajá	1,860	1,900	high	1	
Xambioá	10	250	none	0	
<i>Maxakalí Family</i>					
Maxakalí	most	594		1	
<i>Ofayé Family</i>					
Ofayé / Opayé / Ofayé-Xavante	25	87		0?	d
<i>Rikbaktsá Family</i>					
Rikbaktsá / Erikpaksá		690	med?	1	
<i>Yathê Family</i>					
Yathê / Iatê / Fulniô / Carnijó	most?	2,788	med?	1	
<i>Arawá Family</i>					
Banawá-Yafí		120	high	1	
Deni		570	high	1	
Jarawára		160	high	3	
Kulína (Pe)		2,500	high	1	
Paumarí		539	low	3	
Yamamadí / Jamamadí / Kanamantí		250	high	1	
Suruahá / Zuruahá		143	high	0	

Table 1. Brazil (cont.)

Language Dialects, Groups	Speakers	Popula- tion	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
<i>Aruák Family (Arawak, Maipure)</i>					
Apurinã / Ipurinã		2,800	med	2	
Baniwa do Içana / Kurripako (Co, V)		3,189 [5,000]	high	3	
Baré (V)	0?	2,170	none	1	
Kampa / Axíninka (Pe)		763		0	
[Mawayana] (Gu, Su)	>10	>10	none?	0	d
Mehináku					
close to Waurá	all	160	high	1	
Palikúr (FG)		722		1	
Paresí / Arití / Haliti		1,200		1	
Píro		[530]		0	
Manitenéri (Pe)					
Maxinéri (Bo)		[345]		0	
Salumã / Enawenê-Nawê		253	high	0	
Tariana					
Yurupari-Tapúya / Iyemi (Co)	100	1,630	very low	2	d
Terena / Tereno		15,000		1	
Wapixana (Gu)		5,000	variable	1	
Warekena (Co, V)		476		2	
Waurá					
close to Mehinaku	all	226	high	1	
Yawalapití	8	184	none	1	d
<i>[Bora Family]</i>					
[Miranha]					
dialect of Bora (Co)	few?	400		0	
<i>[Guaikurú Family]</i>					
[Kadiwéu]	most	[900]	high	2	
<i>Jabutí Family</i>					
Djeoromitxí / Jabutí	30?	67	low	1	d
Arikapú	3	6	none	0	d
<i>Karib Family</i>					
Apará / Apalaí (FG)	most	[150?]	high	2	
Arara do Pará / Ukarãgmã	all?	165	high?	1	

Table 1. Brazil (cont.)

Language Dialects, Groups	Speakers	Popula- tion	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
Bakairí	most	570	good	2	
Galibí do Oiapoque (Gu, Su, FG, V)		37	low?	0	
Hixkaryána	most?	[550]	high	3	
Ingarikó / Kapóng / Akwaio (Gu, V)		1,000	good	1	
Kalapálo					
Kalapalo, Kuikúro, Matipú, Nahukwá are dialects of one language	most	353	good	1	
Kuikúru	most	364 [500]	good	2	
Makuxí (Gu)	most	15,000	high?	3	
Matipú					
very close to Nahukwá?	few	62	low	0	
Mayongong / Makiritaré / Yekuána	most?	180	high?	0	
[Nahukwá]	most	86	good	1	
Taulipáng / Pemóng (V, Gu)	most	200	high?	1	
Tiriyó / Tirió / Trio (Su, Gu?)	all	380 [900]	high	3	
Txikão / Ikpeng	all	189	high	2	
Waimirí / Waimirí-Atroarí	all	611	high	1	
Wayána (FG, Su)	most?	[150?]	med?	1	
Wai-Wai (Gu)	all?	1,366	high	2	
<i>Katukína Family</i>					
Kanamarí	most?	1,300		1	
Katawixí	10?	250		0	d
Katukina do Rio Biá / Pedá Djapá	few?	250		0	d, e
Txunhuã-Djapá / Tsohom-Djapá	30?	100		0	d
<i>Makú Family</i>					
Bará / Kakua (Co)	220				
Dow / Kamã	83			1	
Húpda (Co)	1,800	1,800	high	1	

Table 1. Brazil (cont.)

<i>Language Dialects, Groups</i>	<i>Speakers</i>	<i>Popula- tion</i>	<i>Trans- mission</i>	<i>Studies</i>	<i>Status</i>
Nadëb / Guariba	400			1	
Yuhúp (Co)	400			1?	
<i>Mura Family</i>					
Mura	?any	1,400	none	0	d, e
Pirahã	all	179	high	3	
<i>Nambikwára Family</i>					
Nambikwára do Norte / Mamindê / Latundê / Nakarotê	323	[346]	med	1	
Nambikwára do Sul	all	[721]	good	2	
Sabanê	7 active	[30]	none	1	d
<i>Pano Family</i>					
Amawáka (Pe)		[220]		0	
Arara / Shawanauá					
Arara, Shanenawá, Yamináwa, Yawanawá dialects of one language	9?	300		1	
Katukina do Acre / Katukina Pano		400		1	
Kaxararí		220		0	
Kaxinawá (Pe)		3,387		2	
Korúbo		40		0	d
Marúbo		960	high	2?	
Matis	all	178	high	1	
Matsés / Mayoruna (Pe)		640	high	1	
Nukini	any ?	400	none ?	0	d, e
Poyanáwa	2	385	none	0	d
Shanenawá		[300]		1	
Yamináwa / Jaminawa (Pe)		270		0	
Yawanawá		200			
<i>Tukano Family</i>					
Arapaço		317		0	
Bará / Barasána (Co)		40		0	
Desána (Co)		1,458		1	
[Jurití] (Co)		[50?]			

Table 1. Brazil (cont.)

<i>Language Dialects, Groups</i>	<i>Speakers</i>	<i>Popula- tion</i>	<i>Trans- mission</i>	<i>Studies</i>	<i>Status</i>
Karapanã (Co)		40		0	
Kubewa / Kubeo (Co)		219		0	
Makúna / Yebá-masã (Co)		34		0	
Pira-Tapuya / Waíkana (Co)		926		0	
Siriáno (Co)		[10]		0	
Tukano (Co, V)		2,868		3	
Tuyúka (Co)		518		0	
Wanano (Co)		506		0	
<i>Txapakúra Family</i>					
Kujubim	2	[50]	none	0	d
Oro Win	few?	40			d, e
Torá	0?	25 [250]		0	e
Urupá	?	[150]		0	e
Warí / Pakaanova		1,300		3	
<i>Yanomami Family</i>					
Ninam / Yanam	466		high	2	
Sanumá (V)	462		high	2	
Yanomám / Yanomac (V)	[4,000]		high	2	
Yanomami (V)	6,000	9,000	high	3	
<i>Isolated Languages</i>					
Aikaná / Masaká / Kasupá		175	med?	1	
Iránxe					
very close to Mynky		250		2	
Kanoê	8	61	low	1	d
Kwazá / Koaiá	25	15 [40]	low	3	d
Máku	1	[1]	none	1	d, e
Mynky		69			
Trumái	51	94	low	2	d
Tikúna (Co, Pe)		23,000		3	
<i>Creole languages</i>					
[Galibi Marwono]		[860]		0	
[Karipuna do Norte]		[672]		1	

Language names and classification in table 1. are adapted from Instituto Sócio Ambiental 2001a. Population figures are generally taken from Instituto Socio Ambiental 2001b. Modifications and additional information, indicated in brackets, are taken from Rodrigues 1993, Centro de Documentação Indígena 1987, Dixon and Aikhenvald 1999, and various other sources, including personal communications. Amount of study estimates are taken from Rodrigues 1993, Dixon and Aikhenvald 1999, and a miscellany of other sources.

Table 2. Colombia

<i>Family, Languages</i>	<i>Speakers</i>	<i>Population</i>	<i>Transmission</i>	<i>Studies</i>	<i>Status</i>
<i>Arawak Family</i>					
Baniva (V, Br)		6,948 with Curripaco			
Cabiyari	few?	277	low?	0	d
Curripaco (V, Br)		6,948		2	
Guarequena (V, Br)	few	25			
Tariano (Br)	few?	255	none?		d
Yucuna		381		1	
<i>Bora Family</i>					
Bora (Pe)		388		1	
Maraña		457			
dialect of Bora (Br)					
Muinane		263		1	
<i>Caribe Family</i>					
Carijona	30 passive	234		1	d
<i>Huitoto Family</i>					
Nonuya	3	199		0	d
Ocaina (Pe)	few	126			d
Uitoto / Minica (Pe)		6,604		3	
<i>Macú</i>					
Cacua / Bara (Br)		300?	high		
Hupda (n Br)		few 100	high		
Nukak		700-1,000	high	1	
Yuhup (Br)		200	high	1	

Table 2. Colombia (cont.)

<i>Family, Languages</i>	<i>Speakers</i>	<i>Population</i>	<i>Transmission</i>	<i>Studies</i>	<i>Status</i>
<i>Tucano Family</i>					
Barasána (Br)		939 [1,891]		3	
Carapana (Br)		412		1	
Coreguaje		1,731		1	
Cubeo (Br)		4,616		1	
Desano (Br)		2,216		2	
Guanano / Wanano (Br)		1,113		1	
Macuna (Br)		571 [922]			
Piratapuyo (Br)		474			
Pisamira	25	54			d
Siona (Ec)		468 [700]		1	
Siriano (Br)		715			
Tanimuca / Retuarã		277 [1,149]		1	
Tatuyo		294		2	
Tucano (Br, V)		7,305		2	
Tuyuca (Br)		570		1	
Yuriti (Br)		610			
<i>Tupi-Guarani Family</i>					
Cocama (Pe, Br)	few	285 [767]			d
Geral / Nheengatu (Br, V)		some 100s			
<i>Peba-Yagua Family</i>					
Yagua (Pe)		279			
<i>Isolated Languages</i>					
Andoke		304	low?	3	
Cofán (Ec)		1,061	high?	1	
Ticuna (also Pe, Br)		5,578		2	

Language names and population estimates are adapted from Montes Rodrigues 2000 and Centro Colombiano de Estudios de Lenguas Aborígenes (C.C.E.L.A.) 2000. Other population estimates, in brackets, are from website "Etnias Indígenas de Colombia" 2001.

Table 3. Venezuela

Family, Languages	Speakers	Population	Transmission	Studies	Status
<i>Arawaka</i>					
Añu / Paraujano (Co?)	[very few]	13,000		1	d, e
Baniwa (Br, Co)		1,129	low	2	c
Baré (Br)	[few]	1,209	low	1	d
Kurripako (Co, Br)		2,760[?]		1	
Piapoko (Co)	[100]	1167		1	
Warekena (Co, Br)		409		2	c
Wayuu / Guajiro (Co)		[179,31]	[high]	[2]	
<i>Caribe</i>					
Akwayo (Gu, Br)		few?			
Eñepa / Panare		[3,133]	high	3	
Kariña / Galibi (Br, Gu, FG, Su)		[45,000]		1	
Mapoyo	[semi]	[178]	none	1	d
Pemon (Br, Gu)		21,000	good	1	
Yabarana		318	low?		c
Yekuana / Maiongong / Maquiritari (Br)		2,619		2	
Yukpa (Co)		[4,174]		1	
<i>Cibchan Family?</i>					
Barí / Motilón		1,503	good		
<i>Guajibo Family</i>					
Guajibo / Sikuaní		9,221		2	
Kuiva / Cuiba (Co)		[856]			
<i>Puinave-Makú Family</i>					
Puinave (Co)		774 [240]			d
<i>Sáliva Family</i>					
Mako (Co?)		345			d
Piaroa (Co)		8,938	good	1	
Sáliva (Co)		79			
<i>Tukano Family</i>					
Tukano (Co, Br)		731		1	

Table 3. Venezuela (cont.)

Family, Languages	Speakers	Population	Transmission	Studies	Status
<i>Tupi-Guarani Family</i>					
Yeral / Nheengatu (Co, Br)		100s?			
<i>Yanomami Family</i>					
Yanomami (Br)		7,069	good		
Sanema (Br)		373 [1,500]	good		
<i>Isolated Languages</i>					
Jodi / Yuwana		257	good	1	d
Pumé / Yaruro		6,000		2	
Uruak / Awaké (Br?)	few	[45]			d
Warao (Gu)		25,000		2	

Adapted from González Náñez 2000 and Ruelle 2000. Other information, in brackets, is mostly from the Database on Endangered Latin American Languages, compiled by Crevels and Adelaar. The status "endan" (endangered) is attributed by González Náñez to Baré, Baniva, Jodí, Máku, Mapoyo, Puinave, Sáliva, Warekena, Yabarana.

Table 4. Peru

Family, Languages	Speakers**	Population**	Transmission	Studies	Status
<i>Arawá Family</i>					
Culina (Br)	[400]	[400]	[yes]	3 [3]	
<i>Arawak Family</i>					
Asháninka (Br)	52,232 [26,000]	[30,000]	[yes]	3[3]	
Campa	229 [250-300]	[250-300]	[yes]	[1]	c
Caquinte					
Chamicuro	126 (100-150) [2]	[10-20]	[no]	[1]	d
Iñapari	4 [4]		[no]	[1]	d, e
Machiguenga w/out Cogapacori	[5,700-13,000]	[6,400-13,000]	yes	2 [2]	

Table 4. Peru (cont.)

Family, Languages	Speakers**	Popula- tion**	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
Nomatsiguenga	[2,300-4,500]	[2,300-4,500]	[yes]	[1]	
Piro/Yine w/out Mashco-Piro (Br)	[2,150]	[2,150]	[yes]	3 [3]	
Resígaro	11 [11]	with Bora, Ocaina [5,500-10,000]	[some]	[2]	d
Yanesha / Amuesha	[1,750-8,000]		[locally]	2 [2]	
<i>Bora Family</i>					
Bora (Co)	[260-2,000]	[260-3,000]	[locally]	2 [2]	
<i>Cahuapana Family</i>					
Chayahuita	[7,900-12,000]	[7,900-12,000]	[yes]	[2]	
Jebero	few [elders, interest in revival]	[2,000-3,000]	[doubt-ful]	[1]	d
<i>Harkmbut-Katukina Family</i>					
Harakmbut	[830-1,000]	[900-1,000]	[yes]	[3]	
<i>Huitoto Family</i>					
Huitoto [Murui] (Co?)	[1,100-3,000]	[1,100-3,000]	[some]	[2]	
Huitoto [Muinane] (Br? Co?)	[50-100]				d
Ocaina (Co)	188 (150-250) [54-150]	150	few	[1]	d
<i>Jíbaro Family</i>					
Achuar (Ec)	[2,800-5,000]	[2,800-5,000]	[yes]	1 [2]	
Aguaruna (Ec)	45,137 [34,000-39,000]	[34,000-39,000]	[yes]	3 [3]	
Candoshi [-Shapra] [Candoshi Family]	[1,120-3,000]	[1,120-3,000]	[yes]		
Huambisa	[4,000-10,000]	[4,000-10,000]	[yes]	[2]	
<i>[Munichi Family]</i>					
[Munichi]	[3 in 1988]		no	[2]	e
<i>Pano Family</i>					
Amahuaca (Br)	247 [190-1,000]	[190-1,000]	[locally]	[3]	c

Table 4. Peru (cont.)

Family, Languages	Speakers**	Popula- tion**	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
Capanahua	[50-120]	[400]	no	1 [3]	d
Cashibo-Cacataibo	[1,150-1,500]	[1,150-1,500]	[yes]	[2]	
Cashinahua (Br)	[750-1,000]	[750-1,000]	[yes]	[3]	
[Chitonahua]? [Mastanahua]?]	[35] [100]				d
Mayoruna / Matses (Br)	[890-2,500]	[890-2,500]	[yes]		d
[Sharanahua]? Shipibo-Conibo	[350-450] 20,168 [13,200-16,085]	[350-450] [13,200-16,085]	[yes]	1 [2]	
Yaminahua (Br)	[380-1,000]	[380-1,000]	[yes]	[1]	
[Yora(nahua)]? Isconahua* Moronahua* Remo*	[200] 28 [30]	[200]			d
<i>Peba-Yagua Family</i>					
Yagua (Co)	[760-4,000]	[5,000]	[locally]	3 [3]	
<i>Shimaco Family</i>					
Urarina	[170-3,500]	[170-3,500]	[yes]		
<i>Tacana Family</i>					
Ese Eha [/Huarayo]	[225]	[225]	[most]		
<i>Tucano Family</i>					
Secoya (Ec, Co)	[250-600]	[250-600]	[yes]		
Orejón	190-300 [225-260]	[225-260]	[some]	1	
<i>Tupi-Guarani Family</i>					
Cocama-Cocamilla (Co, Br)	few [260]	[15,000]	[no]	[3]	d
Omagua (Ec?)	[nearly extinct]	[627 in 1976]	[no]		d, e
<i>Záparo Family</i>					
Arabela	[50-150]	[300]	few	1 [1]	d
[Cahuarano]	[5 in 1972]				d, e

Table 4. Peru (cont.)

Family, Languages	Speakers**	Popula- tion**	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
Iquito	150 [elder]	[150]	[no]	0 [0]	d
Taushiro [/Pinche] [Taushiro Family]	7 [7 in 1975]	[20]	[no]		d, e
<i>Isolated Languages</i>					
Ticuna (Co, Br)	[700-6,000]	[700-40,000]	[yes]		

* Unknown if dialect or different language.

** Numbers in brackets are from the Database on Endangered Latin American Languages, compiled by Crevels and Adelaar. Numbers in parentheses are from Solis Fonseca 2000 and represent the 1975 census. Other numbers are from García Rivera 2000 and it is unclear if they indicate population or speakers.

Information is also adapted from the map of Pozzi-Escot, Solis, and García Rivera 2000, which considers the following languages "in the process of extinction" ('c' or 'd' above): Campa Caquinte, Iñapari, Resígaro, Jebero, Ocaina, Amahuaca, Omagua, Iquito, and Taushiro.

Table 5. Bolivia

Family, Languages	Speakers	Population	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
<i>Arawak Family</i>					
Baure	40 elders	631	none	sil/1	d
Machineri (Br)	140	155	high	0	
Mojeño	22 % use	20,805	med	mis/sil/1	
<i>Mataco-Maka Family</i>					
Chulupi (Ar, Pa)	none?	dispersed		0	e
<i>Pano Family</i>					
Chacobo	550	767	good	sil/1	
Pacahuara	9	9		sil/1	d
Yaminahua (Pe, Br)	137	161	good	0	
<i>Tacana Family</i>					
Araona	81	90	high	sil/1	

Table 5. Bolivia (cont.)

Family, Languages	Speakers	Population	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
Cavineña	1,180	1,736	med	sil/1	
Esse Ejja (Pe)	502	584	med	sil/1	
Reyesano	few elders?	4,118	none		d, e
Tacana	1,821	5,058	med/low	sil/1	
<i>Tupi-Guarani Family</i>					
Guarasugwe	0?	46	none	0	e
Guarayo	5,933	7,235		mis/sil/1	
Siriono	399	419		mis/2	
Yuqui / Bia Ye	125	156		0	
<i>Isolated Languages</i>					
Canichana	3 semi	583	none	sil/1	e
Cayubaba	2 semi	794	none	sil/1	e
Itonama	5 elder	5,099	none	sil/1	d, e
Leco	20	80		1	d
More	76	200		2	d
Moseten	585	1,177		sil/1	
Movima	2,000	6,516		mis/1	
Tsimane	5,316	5,907		mis/1	
Yuacare	2,675	3,333			

sil = study by SIL. mis = study by other mission. Adapted from Rodríguez Bazán 2000, Rodríguez Bazán and Ayreyu Cuellar 2000, and the Database on Endangered Latin American Languages, compiled by Crevels and Adelaar, which includes Albó 1995, Díez Astete and Murillo 1998, and the Censo Indígena Rural de las Tierras Bajas of 1994.

Table 6. Ecuador

Family, Languages	Speakers	Population	Transmission	Studies	Status
<i>Jívaro Family</i>					
Achuar Chicham (Pe)	4,000	[5,000]		1	
Shuar Chicham (Pe)	35,000			3	
<i>Quíchua Family</i>					
Runa Shimi	1,800			2	
<i>(Western) Tucano Family</i>					
Pai Coca / Siona / Secoya (Co, Pe)	600			1	
<i>Zaparoan Family</i>					
Záparo	24 [1-5]	24 [170]		1	d
<i>Isolated Languages</i>					
A'ingae / Cofán (Co)	800	[800]		1	
Wao Tiriro	1,200	[1,200]		2	

Based on Gnerre 2000, Ushiña S. 2000, Juncosa 2000, and the Database on Endangered Latin American Languages, compiled by Crevels and Adelaar. Numbers in brackets are from this last source.

Table 7. Guyana

Family, Languages	Speakers	Population	Transmission	Studies	Status
<i>Arawak Family</i>					
Arawak / Lokono (FG, Su)	<10 %	15,500		2	
Mawayana (Br, Su)		?		0	e
Wapixana (Br)		6,900			
<i>Carib Family</i>					
Akwaio		5,000		1	
Kapon is auto-desig (V, Br)					
Carib / Kariña, Galibi / Kali'na (V, Br, FG, Su)		3,000			

Table 7. Guyana (cont.)

Family, Languages	Speakers	Population	Transmission	Studies	Status
Makushi (Br)		7,750			
Patamona		5,000			
Kapon is auto-desig					
Pemon / Arekuna (Br, V)		500			
WaiWai (Vr)		24			
<i>Isolated Languages</i>					
Warrau (V)				1	
<i>Creole Languages</i>					
Guyanese English base					
Berbice Dutch base				1?	c
Skepi Dutch base					c

Adapted from Forte 2000, Carmago and Boven 2000b, and the Database on Endangered Latin American Languages, compiled by Crevels and Adelaar. Carmago indicates an "endangered" status for Mawayana, Berbice, and Skepi.

Table 8. Suriname

Family, Languages	Speakers	Population	Transmission	Studies	Status
<i>Arawak Family</i>					
Arawak / Lokono (Gu, FG)	[700]	[2,000]	low	2	
Mawayana (Br, Gu)	[5]	[60]	none		d
<i>Carib Family</i>					
Akurio	[10]	50	low	0	d
Carib / Kariña (Br, Gu, FG, V)	[800-1,000]	3,000	med	3	
Sikiyana	[15]		none		d

Table 8. Suriname (cont.)

Family, Languages	Speakers	Popula- tion	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
Trio / Tiriyó (Gr?, Br)	[1,000-1,200]	1,000[-1,200]	high	1	
Tunayana close to Waiwai	[10]		none		
Wayana (FG, Br)	[500]	500	high	1	
<i>Creole Languages, English based</i>					
Alaku / Boni					
Guyanese					
Kwinti					d
Matawari					
Ndjuka					
Paramaca					
Sranan Tongo / Taki Taki					
Saramaccan English & Portuguese based					

Adapted from Boven and Morroy 2000, Camargo and Boven 2000, and the Database on Endangered Latin American Languages, compiled by Crevels and Adelaar. Camargo and Boven give indicate Akurio and Kwinti as in danger of extinction.

Table 9. French Guiana

Family, Languages	Speakers	Popula- tion	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
<i>Arawak Family</i>					
Arawak / Lokono (Gu, Su)		350		1?	
Palikur (Br)		500		1	

Table 9. French Guiana (cont.)

Family, Languages	Speakers	Popula- tion	Trans- mission	Studies	Status
<i>Carib Family</i>					
Aparai (Br)					
Kali'na / Galibi / Carib (Br, Gu, Su, V)		2,000		2	
Wayana (Su, Br)		1,000		1	
<i>Tupi-Guarani Family</i>					
Émérillon	200	400+		1	c
Wayãpi (Br)		500		3	
<i>Creole Languages</i>					
Ndyuka English base					
Aluku / Boni English base					
Paramaka English base					
Saramaka English & Portuguese base					
Guyanais French base					

Adapted from Grenand 2000, Queixalós 2000 and Camargo and Boven 2000c. Camargo indicates Émérillon as endangered.



Map 2. Lowland and Tropical South America

<i>Ecuador</i>	26 Baré	48 Puruborá
1 Záparo	27 Uruak (Awaké)	49 Kujubim
<i>Peru</i>	<i>Guyana</i>	50 Mondê
2 Arabela	28 Mawayana	51 Akuntsu
3 Cahuarano	<i>Suriname</i>	52 Kwazá (Koaiá)
4 Taushiro [/Pinche]	28 Mawayana	53 Sabanê
5 Jebero	29 Akurio	54 Umutina (Omotina)
6 Chamicuro	30 Sikiyana	55 Guató
7 Munichí	<i>Brazil</i>	56 Apiaká
8 Iñapari	13 Kokáma	57 Yawalapití
9 Mastanahua?	15 Omágua (Kambéba)	58 Trumái
10 Chitonahua?	23 Tariána	59 Xipaya (Shipaya)
11 Isconahua?	26 Baré	60 Kuruáya
12 Capanahua	28 Mawayána	61 Anambé
13 Cocama-Cocamilla	31 Máku	62 Amanayé
14 Iquito	32 Poyanáwa	63 Avá-Canoeiro
15 Omagua	33 Korúbo	64 Akwén/Xakriabá
16 Ocaina	34 Txunhuã-Djapá	65 Krenák
17 Resígaro	35 Nukini	66 Ofayé (Ofayé-Xavante)
18 Huitoto [Muinane]	36 Katukina do Rio Biá (Pedá Djapá)	67 Xetá
<i>Colombia</i>		<i>Bolivia</i>
13 Cocama	37 Katawixí	68 Pacahuara
16 Ocaina	38 Mura	69 More
19 Nonuya	39 Torá	70 Cayubaba
20 Carijona	40 Oro Win	71 Reyesano
21 Cabiari	41 Kanoê	72 Leco
22 Pisamira	42 Djeoromitxí (Jabutí)	73 Canichana
23 Tariano	43 Arikapú	74 Baure
<i>Venezuela</i>	44 Aruá	75 Itonama
24 Añu (Paraujano)	45 Mekém (Sakirabiár)	76 Guarasugwe
25 Mapoyo	46 Urupá	77 Chulupí
	47 Ajurú (Wayoró)	

Notes

1. I wish to thank the people who contributed information on languages: Francisco Queixalós, Odile Renault-Lescure, Willem Adelaar, Mily Crevels, Sérgio Meira, Gail Gomez, Raquel Guirardello, Yonne Leite, Henri Ramirez, Filomena Sandalo, Bruna Franchetto, Sebastian Drude, Elder Lanes, Spike Gildea, Leo Wetzels, Eithne Carlin, and Hein van der Voort. Errors are my own.

The information presented here is that which was available in 2001. The situation has changed since then. For example, much more research has been done on the native languages of Bolivia. A more recent account of the study of native Brazilian languages is given in Moore 2005.

References

- Etnias Indígenas de Colombia – website
 2001 *Grupos Indígenas de Colombia* www.indigenascolombia.org/pueblos.htm/#Grupos. List of native groups adapted from *Los Pueblos Indígenas de Colombia 1997*, by Raúl Arango and Enrique Sánchez. Bogotá: Tercer Mundo Editores. Departamento Nacional de Planeación. 1998.
- Aikhenvald, Alexandra Y. and R. M. W. Dixon
 1999 Other small families and isolates. In Dixon and Aikhenvald (eds.) 341–384.
- Albó, Xavier
 1995 *Bolivia plurilingüe: Una Guía para Planificadores y Educadores*, 3 vols. La Paz: UNICEF-CIPCA.
- Boven, Karin and Robby Morroy
 2000 Indigenous languages of Suriname. *LAH* 377–384.
- Camargo, Eliane, and Karin Boven
 2000 Indigenous languages of Suriname. Map accompanying *LAH*.
 2000b Indigenous languages of Guyana. Map accompanying *LAH*.
 2000c Langues Amérindiennes de Guyane Française. Map accompanying *LAH*.
- Centro Colombiano de Estudios de Lenguas Aborígenes (C.C.E.L.A.)
 2000 Lenguas Indígenas de la Amazonia Colombiana. Map, adapted by Francisco Queixalós and Odile Renault-Lescure, accompanying *LAH*.
- Centro de Documentação Indígena
 1987 Povos Indígenas no Brasil. Map. São Paulo: CEDI.
- Díez Astete, Álvaro and David Murillo
 1998 Pueblos Indígenas de Tierras Bajas: Características Principales. La Paz: PNUD.
- Dixon, R. M. W. and Alexandra Y. Aikhenvald (eds.)
 1999 *The Amazonian languages*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Forte, Janette
 2000 Amerindian languages of Guyana. *LAH* 317–333.
- Franchetto, Bruna
 2000 O Conhecimento Científico das Línguas Indígenas da Amazônia no Brasil. *LAH* 165–182.
- García Rivera, Fernando Antonio
 2000 Estado de las Lenguas en el Perú. *LAH* 333–342.
- Gnerre, Maurizio
 2000 Conocimiento Científico de las Lenguas de la Amazonia del Ecuador. *LAH* 277–286.
- González Nãñez, Omar
 2000 Las Lenguas del Amazonas Venezolano. *LAH* 385–418.
- Grenand, Françoise
 2000 La Connaissance Scientifique des Langues Amérindiennes en Guyane Française. *LAH* 307–316.
- Instituto Sócio Ambiental.
 2001a Línguas Indígenas. www.socioambiental.org/website/povind/linguas/index.html.
 2001b Lista de Povos Indígenas. www.socioambiental.org/website/povind/povos/morinfo.html. (1997 revision of information from Rodrigues 1986.)
- Juncosa, José E.
 2000 Lenguas Indígenas de la Amazonia Ecuatoriana. Map accompanying *LAH*.
- Moore, Denny
 2005 Brazil: Language situation. In Brown, Keith (ed.) *Encyclopedia of languages and linguistics*. Vol. 2: 117–127.
- Kaufman, Terrence and Victor Golla
 2001 Language groupings in the New World: their reliability and usability in cross-disciplinary studies. In Renfrew (ed.) 47–58.
- Lizarralde, Manuel
 2001 Biodiversity and loss of Indigenous languages and knowledge in South America. In Maffi, Luisa (ed.) *On biocultural diversity: Linking language, knowledge, and the environment*. Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press. 265–281.
- Montes Rodrigues, María Emilia
 2000 Informe sobre el Conocimiento Científico de las Lenguas Amerindias Habladas en la Región Amazónica Colombiana. *LAH* 193–210.
- Nichols, Johanna
 1990 Linguistic diversity and the first settlement of the New World. *Language* 66.3: 475–521.

- Pozzi-Escot, Ines, Gustavo Solis Fonseca and Fernando García Rivera
2000 *Lenguas Indígenas de la Amazonia Peruana*. Map accompanying *LAH*.
- Queixalós, Francisco and Odile Renault-Lescure (organizers)
2000 *As Línguas Amazônicas Hoje* (= *LAH*). São Paulo: Instituto Sócio Ambiental.
- Queixalós, Francisco
2000 *Les Langues de Guyane Française*. *LAH* 299–306.
- Renfrew, Colin (ed.)
2001 *America past, America present: Genes and languages in the Americas and beyond*, Cambridge: The McDonald Institute for Archeological Research.
- Rodrigues, Aryon D.
1986 *Línguas Brasileiras: para o Conhecimento das Línguas Indígenas*. São Paulo: Edições Loyola.
- Rodrigues, Aryon D.
1993 *Endangered languages in Brasil*. Paper presented in the “Symposium on Endangered Languages of South America,” Rijksuniversiteit, Leiden.
- Rodríguez Bazán, Luis A.
2000 *Estado de las Lenguas Indígenas del Oriente, Chaco y Amazonia Bolivianos*. *LAH* 129–149.
- Rodríguez Bazán, Luis A. and Herlan Ayreyu Cuellar
2000 *Lenguas Indígenas de la Amazonia Boliviana; Región de las Cuencas de los Ríos Amazonas y de la Plata (Oriente, Chaco y Amazonia)*. Map accompanying *LAH*.
- Roosevelt, Anna C.
1994 *Amazonian anthropology: Strategy for a new synthesis*. In Roosevelt, Anna C. (ed.) *Amazonian Indians from prehistory to the present*. Tucson: The University of Arizona Press. 1–29.
- Ruette, Krisna
2000 *Lenguas Indígenas de la Amazonia Venezolana (y otras Regiones del País)*. Map accompanying *LAH*.
- Seki, Lucy
1999 *The Upper Xingu as an incipient linguistic area*. In Dixon and Aikhenvald (eds) 417–430.
- 2000 *Gramática do Kamaiurá*. Campinas: Editora da UNICAMP.
- Simoni, Lucia; Tarazona-Santos, Eduardo; Luiselli, Donata and Davide Pettener
2001 *Genetic differentiation of South American Native populations Inferred from classical markers: from explorative analyses to a working hypothesis*. In Renfrew (ed.) 125–138.
- Solis Fonseca, Gustavo
2000 *La Lingüística Amerindia Peruana de la Selva*. *LAH* 343–360.
- Ushiña S., Pedro H.
Identificación de las Nacionalidades Indígenas del País. *LAH* 287–298.

Chapter 4 Endangered Languages of Mexico and Central America

Colette Grinevald

1. Introduction

The region to be talked about in this paper is hard to label, although it is clearly identifiable as lying between the United States to the north and Colombia to the south, and comprising the following eight countries: Mexico, Guatemala, Belize, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica and Panama. This area between North and South America partly overlaps with them both culturally and linguistically. It is subdivided into different specific political, economic and cultural subgroupings that have variously been labelled Middle America, Mesoamerica, Central America, or the Intermediate Area. This presentation will follow a straightforward country by country order, from Mexico in the north to Panama in the south, and underline the startling contrasts that characterize these countries in terms of the density of indigenous populations, the vitality of their languages and the development of programs for the linguistic training of native speakers.

It is a region where major centers of civilization flourished during the 2,500 years before the Spanish Conquest, like those of the of Teotihuacan, of the Toltec and the Aztec in Central Mexico, the Olmec on the Gulf Coast of Mexico, the Monte Alban of Oaxaca, and the Classic Maya of Chiapas in Mexico and the Peten in Guatemala (Longacre 1967).

One of the major characteristics of this area as a whole is that it was the most densely populated area of the continent before the arrival of the European conquerors and colonizers. It is estimated that the indigenous population of the region reached 25 million in 1519. The city of Tenochtitlan, for instance, had 500,000 people, at a time when Rome had only 100,000 and Paris, the largest city of Europe had only 300,000 (Garza Cuarón and Lastra 1991:107). It is also one of the regions of the world that has suffered one of the greatest sudden losses of indigenous population: less than a century after the initial European contacts, the population had been reduced to one million people. This happened as the result of numerous converging

Trends in Linguistics
Studies and Monographs 181

Editors

Walter Bisang
Hans Henrich Hock
Werner Winter

Mouton de Gruyter
Berlin · New York

Language Diversity
Endangered

edited by

Matthias Brenzinger

Mouton de Gruyter
Berlin · New York